

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,844	02/25/2004	John Douglas Methot	BEAS-1438US1	2952	
23910 7590 08/24/2007 FLIESLER MEYER LLP			EXAMINER		
650 CALIFOR 14TH FLOOR	650 CALIFORNIA STREET			KEATON, SHERROD L	
	SCO, CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/786,844	METHOT, JOHN DOUGLAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	sherrod keaton	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a limited will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timety filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 June 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-10,12 and 15-21</u> is/are pending	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 8-10, 12 and 15-21</u> is/are reject	ted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and the same of			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies flot	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔣 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> .			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the filing of June 11, 2007 Claims 2-7, 11, and 13-14 have been canceled and Claims 1, 8-10, 12 and 15-21 are pending and have been considered below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, 15-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan (US 2003/0028364 A1) in view of Sullivan (US 20030016238 A1).

<u>Claim 1: Chan discloses a system for extending online help, comprising:</u>

- a.) importing an integrated development environment extension (Page 1, Paragraph 7-
- 8), (Page 4, Paragraph 37);

b.) processing documentation content upon import of the integrated development environment extension wherein the documentation content includes context sensitive help topics (Page 1, Paragraph 5);

but does not explicitly disclose

c.) displaying operations for a help system wherein search capabilities and table of contents are automatically updated after the integrated development environment extension is imported. However <u>Sullivan</u> discloses a context based help engine and dynamic help and further discloses displaying updated help topics to user when context has changed (Page 7, Paragraph 73). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have updates of help topics when an extension is added in <u>Chan</u> as taught by <u>Sullivan</u>. One would have been motivated to update the help system because it allows user to conduct thorough queries improving user efficiency.

<u>Claim 9: Chan and Sullivan</u> disclose a system as in Claim 1 above and further disclose that the help system can be integrated with an extension installation mechanism (Page 2, Paragraph 15-16).

Claim 15: Chan and Sullivan disclose a help system including context-sensitive help topics as in Claim 1 above and further disclose that help topics are organized by a context ID (Page 7, Paragraph 60-61).

<u>Claim 16:</u> Chan and Sullivan disclose that help topics are organized by a context ID as in Claim 15 above and further disclose the context ID is a fully qualified Java class (Page 1 Paragraph 6-8).

<u>Claim 17: Chan and Sullivan</u> disclose that help topics are organized by a context ID as in Claim 15 above and further disclose the context ID is a fully qualified name from a non-Java resource (Page 1 Paragraph 6-8).

<u>Claim 18:</u> Chan and Sullivan disclose a system as in claim 1 above wherein the documentation content is in HTML or XML format (Page 3, Paragraph 25).

<u>Claim 21:</u> Chan and <u>Sullivan</u> disclose a system as in Claim 1 above wherein the IDE widgets, controls, and control properties are associated with the documentation topics that can be automatically displayed in the help system when requested by a user performing a context sensitive help gesture (Figure 2; 20). The widgets are provided when using the help system.

Claims 8, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan (US 2003/0028364 A1) and Sullivan (US 20030016238 A1) as applied to Claim 1 above, and in further view of Cohen (US 7024658 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,844

Art Unit: 2174

Page 5

Claim 8: Chan and Sullivan disclose a system as in Claim 1 above but do not explicitly disclose the help system including a control and a control property. However Cohen discloses an extensible help facility for a computer software application and further discloses the help system with control and control properties (Column 2, Lines 3-13). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time of the invention to include control and control properties in the modified Chan as taught by Cohen. One would have been motivated to include control and control properties to allow user to generate multiple help files aimed at specific files.

Claim 10: Chan and Sullivan disclose a system as in Claim 1 above but do not explicitly disclose the help display being configured to display content in a web browser. However Cohen discloses an extensible help facility for a computer software application and further discloses the help system being configured to display content in a web browser (Column 2, Lines 3-13). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time of the invention to display content in a web browser of the modified Chan as taught by Cohen. One would have been motivated to include the help display content in a web browser in order to allow user access multiple help files through hyperlinked pages.

Claim 12: Chan and Sullivan disclose a system as in Claim 1 above but do not explicitly disclose the help display is externally controllable. However Cohen discloses an extensible help facility for a computer software application and further discloses the help system being externally controllable (Column 3, Lines 5-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time of the invention to allow the help system to be externally controllable in the modified Chan as taught by Cohen. One would have been motivated to allow the help system be externally controllable because it extends more functionality and operability of the system to the user.

4. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Chan</u>
(US 2003/0028364 A1) and Sullivan (US 20030016238 A1) as applied to Claim 1 above, and in further view of Chong (US 20020184610 A1).

Claims 19 and 20: Chan and Sullivan disclose a system as in Claim 1 above but do not explicitly disclose that the documentation content can support delivery of help for a particular component in a single archive file and the file being a JAR file. However Chong discloses a system and method for building multi-modal and channel applications and further discloses an extension being a single archive file and the archive file being a JAR file (Page 30, Paragraph 436). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have an archive JAR file in the modified Chan as taught by Chong. One would have been

motivated to have a single archive file instead of having to save a series of files with the extensions, which improves efficiency.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants arguments filed 6-11-2007 have been considered below:

Argument Claim 1: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection, as necessitated by applicant's amendment and rewriting of Claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrod Keaton whose telephone number is 571) 270-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. and alternating Fri. off (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KRISTINE KINCAID can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,844 Page 8

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLK

8-10-07

Wristine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100